



DEFINITION AND DIAGNOSIS OF  
DIABETES MELLITUS AND  
INTERMEDIATE HYPERGLYCAEMIA



World Health  
Organization



International Diabetes Federation

# DEFINITION AND DIAGNOSIS OF DIABETES MELLITUS AND INTERMEDIATE HYPERGLYCEMIA

**REPORT OF A WHO/IDF CONSULTATION**



**World Health  
Organization**



**International Diabetes Federation**

## **WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data**

Definition and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycemia : report of a WHO/IDF consultation.

1.Diabetes mellitus – diagnosis. 2.Diabetes mellitus - classification. 3.Hyperglycemia. 4.Glucose tolerance test. I.World Health Organization. II.International Diabetes Federation.

ISBN 92 4 159493 4

(NLM classification: WK 810)

ISBN 978 92 4 159493 6

### **© World Health Organization 2006**

All rights reserved. Publications of the World Health Organization can be obtained from WHO Press, World Health Organization, 20 Avenue Appia, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland (tel.: +41 22 791 3264; fax: +41 22 791 4857; e-mail: [bookorders@who.int](mailto:bookorders@who.int)). Requests for permission to reproduce or translate WHO publications – whether for sale or for noncommercial distribution – should be addressed to WHO Press, at the above address (fax: +41 22 791 4806; e-mail: [permissions@who.int](mailto:permissions@who.int)).

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the World Health Organization in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by the World Health Organization to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall the World Health Organization be liable for damages arising from its use.



# CONTENTS

Summary of technical report and recommendations	1
Introduction	5
Background	7
ISSUE 1: Should the current diagnostic criteria for diabetes be changed?	9
ISSUE 2: How should normal plasma glucose levels be defined?	13
ISSUE 3: How should impaired glucose tolerance be defined?	17
ISSUE 4: How should impaired fasting glucose be defined?	21
ISSUE 5: What diagnostic tests should be used to define glycaemic status?	29
Appendices	35
References	41



# SUMMARY OF TECHNICAL REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Since 1965 the World Health Organization (WHO) has published guidelines for the diagnosis and classification of diabetes. These were last reviewed in 1998 and were published as the guidelines for the *Definition, Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus and its Complications*. Since then more information relevant to the diagnosis of diabetes has become available. In November 2005 a joint WHO and International Diabetes Federation (IDF) Technical Advisory Group met in Geneva to review and update the current WHO guidelines.

After consideration of available data and recent recommendations made by other organisations, the Group made the following recommendations:

---

**Recommendation 1** The current WHO diagnostic criteria for diabetes should be maintained – fasting plasma glucose  $\geq 7.0$ mmol/l (126mg/dl) or 2-h plasma glucose  $\geq 11.1$ mmol/l (200mg/dl).

Despite the limitations with the data from which the diagnostic criteria for diabetes are derived, the current criteria distinguish a group with significantly increased premature mortality and increased risk of microvascular and cardiovascular complications.

---

**Recommendation 2** Since there are insufficient data to accurately define normal glucose levels, the term 'normoglycaemia' should be used for glucose levels associated with low risk of developing diabetes or cardiovascular disease, that is levels below those used to define intermediate hyperglycaemia.

---

**Recommendation 3** The current WHO definition for Impaired Glucose Tolerance (IGT) should be maintained for the present.

Consideration should be given to replacing this category of intermediate hyperglycaemia by an overall risk assessment for diabetes, cardiovascular disease, or both, which includes a measure of glucose as a continuous variable.

---

**Recommendation 4** The fasting plasma glucose cut-point for Impaired Fasting Glucose (IFG) should remain at 6.1mmol/l.

This decision was based on concerns about the significant increase in IFG prevalence which would occur with lowering the cut-point and the impact on individuals and health systems. There is a lack of evidence of any benefit in terms of reducing adverse outcomes or progression to diabetes and people identified by a lower cut-point eg 5.6mmol/l (100mg/dl) have a more favourable cardiovascular risk profile and only half the risk of developing diabetes compared with those above the current WHO cut-point. Lowering the cut-point would increase the proportion of people with IGT who also have IFG but decreases the proportion of people with IFG who also have IGT.

Consideration should be given to replacing this category of intermediate hyperglycaemia by an overall risk assessment for diabetes, cardiovascular disease, or both, which includes a measure of glucose as a continuous variable.

---

**Recommendation 5**

1. Venous plasma glucose should be the standard method for measuring and reporting glucose concentrations in blood. However in recognition of the widespread use of capillary sampling, especially in under-resourced countries, conversion values for capillary plasma glucose are provided for post-load glucose values. Fasting values for venous and capillary plasma glucose are identical.
2. Glucose should be measured immediately after collection by near-patient testing, or if a blood sample is collected, plasma should be immediately separated, or the sample should be collected into a container with glycolytic inhibitors and placed in ice-water until separated prior to analysis.

---

**Recommendation 6** The oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) should be retained as a diagnostic test for the following reasons:

- fasting plasma glucose alone fails to diagnose approximately 30% of cases of previously undiagnosed diabetes,
- for OGTT is the only means of identifying people with IGT

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

[https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5\\_29791](https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_29791)

