This report contains the collective views of an international group of experts and does not necessarily represent the decisions or the stated policy of the United Nations Environment Programme, the International Labour Organization or the World Health Organization.

Environmental Health Criteria 233

TRANSGENIC ANIMAL MUTAGENICITY ASSAYS

First draft prepared by Drs Ulrich Wahnschaffe, Janet Kielhorn, Annette Bitsch and Inge Mangelsdorf, Fraunhofer Institute of Toxicology and Experimental Medicine, Hanover, Germany

Published under the joint sponsorship of the United Nations Environment Programme, the International Labour Organization and the World Health Organization, and produced within the framework of the Inter-Organization Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals.



World Health Organization Geneva, 2006 The **International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS)**, established in 1980, is a joint venture of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), the International Labour Organization (ILO) and the World Health Organization (WHO). The overall objectives of the IPCS are to establish the scientific basis for assessment of the risk to human health and the environment from exposure to chemicals, through international peer review processes, as a prerequisite for the promotion of chemical safety, and to provide technical assistance in strengthening national capacities for the sound management of chemicals.

The Inter-Organization Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals (IOMC) was established in 1995 by UNEP, ILO, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, WHO, the United Nations Industrial Development Organization, the United Nations Institute for Training and Research and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (Participating Organizations), following recommendations made by the 1992 UN Conference on Environment and Development to strengthen cooperation and increase coordination in the field of chemical safety. The purpose of the IOMC is to promote coordination of the policies and activities pursued by the Participating Organizations, jointly or separately, to achieve the sound management of chemicals in relation to human health and the environment.

WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

Transgenic animal mutagenicity assays.

(Environmental health criteria; 233)

 Mutagenicity tests - methods. 2.Mice, Transgenic. 3.Risk assessment.
Environmental exposure. I.World Health Organization. II.International Programme on Chemical Safety.

ISBN 92 4 157233 7 ISBN 978 92 4 157233 7 ISSN 0250-863X (NLM classification: QU 450)

©World Health Organization 2006

All rights reserved. Publications of the World Health Organization can be obtained from WHO Press, World Health Organization, 20 Avenue Appia, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland (tel: +41 22 791 3264; fax: +41 22 791 4857; email: bookorders@who.int). Requests for permission to reproduce or translate WHO publications — whether for sale or for noncommercial distribution — should be addressed to WHO Press, at the above address (fax: +41 22 791 4806; email: permissions@who.int).

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the World Health Organization in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by WHO to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either express or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall the World Health Organization be liable for damages arising from its use.

This document was technically and linguistically edited by Marla Sheffer, Ottawa, Canada, and printed by Wissenchaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Stuttgart, Germany.

CONTENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CRITERIA FOR TRANSGENIC ANIMAL MUTAGENICITY ASSAYS

PR	EAMB	LE	X
AC	RONY	MS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xix
GL	OSSAI	RY	xxii
1.	SUM	MARY	1
		OVERVIEW OF GENOTOXICITY TESTING AND ENIC ANIMAL MUTAGENICITY SYSTEMS	7
2.	OVEI	RVIEW OF GENOTOXICITY TESTING	8
	2.1 2.2	Gene mutation assays in vivo using endogenous genes Gene mutation assays in vivo using transgenes	8 8
3.	ANIM	STRUCTION/PRODUCTION OF TRANSGENIC MALS USING AS EXAMPLES THE <i>LACI</i> AND MUTATION MODELS	10
	3.1 3.2	The foreign gene construct Generation of transgenic animals with shuttle	10
	3.3	vectors: the transfer method Strains and species used	10 12
	3.4 3.5	Target or reporter genes — the <i>lac</i> operon Transgene shuttle vectors	12 13
	3.6	Transgenic animal models 3.6.1 <i>lacI</i> transgenic model — the Big Blue [®]	14
		construct 3.6.2 <i>lacZ</i> transgenic mouse model — the	14
		Muta TM Mouse construct	15

4.	THE TRANSGENIC MUTAGENICITY ASSAY — METHODOLOGY USING AS EXAMPLES <i>LACI</i> AND					
	LACZ	Z MUTATION MODELS	16			
	4.1	Treatment schemes	16			
	4.2 4.3	Collection of (target) tissues and isolation of DNA Recovery of the DNA construct of the shuttle vector	16			
		from the genomic DNA and in vitro packaging	16			
	4.4	Infection into bacteria and plating	18			
	4.5	Detection and quantification of mutations	18			
		4.5.1 Big Blue [®] system	18			
		4.5.2 Muta [™] Mouse system	19			
5.	FURTHER TRANSGENIC MUTAGENICITY ASSAYS					
	5.1	λcII assay (Big Blue [®] and Muta TM Mouse)	21			
	5.2	gpt delta model	24			
		5.2.1 gpt delta rodents	24			
		5.2.2 6-TG selection	24			
		5.2.3 Spi ⁻ selection	24			
	5.3	The $lacZ$ plasmid model	26			
	5.4 Upcoming transgenic models for which no exten					
		data are available	27			
		5.4.1 Φ X174 transgenic mouse model	27			
		5.4.2 λsupF transgenic mouse	28			
		5.4.3 pKZ1 transgenic recombination model	28			
		5.4.4 <i>rpsL</i> transgenic mouse model	29			
6.	PARAMETERS AND CRITERIA FOR VALID					
	EXP	ERIMENTAL DESIGN	30			
	6.1	Determinants studied using transgenic mutation				
		assays	30			
		6.1.1 Types of mutations	30			
		6.1.2 Overall mutant/mutation frequency	30			
		6.1.3 Spontaneous mutant frequency	31			
		6.1.4 Sequence analysis	31			
	6.2	Criteria for valid experimental design of transgenic				
		mutation assays	32			
		6.2.1 Selection of the most suitable model	32			
		6.2.2 Duration of exposure	32			
		6.2.3 Selection of the dose	33			

		6.2.4	Post-treatment manifestation time	33
		6.2.5	Significance of a negative result	35
		6.2.6	Factors to consider when comparing the	
			performance of mutation assays	35
		6.2.7	Positive control	36
		6.2.8	Sensitivity	36
		6.2.9	Statistics	37
		6.2.10	Analysis of DNA sequence	37
		6.2.11	Use of transgenic assays in the detection of	
			gene mutations in germ cells	38
PAI	RT II: (COMP	ARISON OF THE <i>LACI</i> MODEL AND THE	
			VITH CONVENTIONAL TEST SYSTEMS	39
7.	INTR	ODUC	TION TO PART II	40
	7.1	Aim o	f the comparison and criteria for the selection	
		of data	a	40
	7.2	Choic	e and limitations of data	41
	7.3	Validi	ty of data on transgenic animal mutation assays	42
	7.4	Criter	ia for predictivity of transgenic assays	43
8.	COM	PARIS	ON OF THE MUTA™MOUSE AND BIG	
0.		[®] ASS		44
0	TDAN	ISCEN	IIC ASSAYS — COMPARISON WITH	
9.		ER ASS		46
	UIII	IN ASS	SATS	40
	9.1	The M	Iuta [™] Mouse assay and the Big Blue [®] mouse	
		assay	versus the mouse bone marrow micronucleus	
		test		46
		9.1.1	The mouse bone marrow micronucleus test:	
			principles and procedures	61
		9.1.2	Comparison of data from the mouse bone	
			marrow micronucleus test and transgenic	
			mouse test	61
			9.1.2.1 Bone marrow	61
			9.1.2.2 All organs	63
		9.1.3	Predictivity of the transgenic animal	
			mutagenicity assays and the mouse bone	
			marrow micronucleus test for carcinogenicity	63
			6 9	

				ison of both test systems	66
			Conclus		68
	9.2			ouse assay and the Big Blue [®] mouse	
		or rat	assay vei	sus assays using endogenous reporter	
		genes			70
		9.2.1	Results	in the mouse spot test compared with	
			those fro	om transgenic animals	70
				Description of the mouse spot test	71
			9.2.1.2	Comparison of the mouse spot test	
				with transgenic mouse model systems	71
			9.2.1.3	Predictivity of the transgenic animal	
				mutagenicity assays and the mouse	
				spot test for carcinogenicity	78
			9.2.1.4	Advantages and disadvantages of	
				both test systems	79
			9.2.1.5	Conclusions	80
		9.2.2	Transge	nic animal mutagenicity assay versus	
			Hprt and	d other endogenous genes	82
			9.2.2.1	Description of endogenous gene	
				animal models	87
			9.2.2.2	Comparative studies	89
				Conclusion	93
	9.3			mal mutagenicity assays and indirect	
				A damage using UDS in vivo assay	94
	9.4 Results of transgenic animal mutagenicity assays				
		compa	ared with	results of genotoxicity assays in vitro	94
			Gene m		95
				somal aberration	95
				r indirect measure of DNA damage	103
		9.4.4	Conclus	ion	103
10.			NIC ASSA	AYS AND CARCINOGENICITY	
	TEST	ING			104
		~			
	10.1			target organs in carcinogenicity	
				get organs in transgenic animal	
			ion assay		104
				of target organs	104
		10.1.2		s of the predictivity for the liver as	101
			target or	6	121
		10.1.3	Conclus	1011	127

10.2	Comparison of results of carcinogenicity studies with results from transgenic animal mutagenicity assays	128
	10.2.1 Non-genotoxic carcinogens	139
	10.2.2 Validity of data on transgenic animal	10,
	mutation assays	139
	10.2.3 Evaluation of the predictivity for	157
	carcinogenicity in mice	140
	10.2.4 Conclusion	148
		140
PART III:	APPLICATIONS OF TRANSGENIC ANIMAL	
MUTAGE	ENICITY STUDIES	149
11. MUT.	ATION FREQUENCIES AND SEQUENCING DAT.	A
AND	APPLICATIONS OF THIS INFORMATION IN	
MEC	HANISTIC STUDIES	150
11.1	Studies on spontaneous mutant/mutation frequencies	
	(in organs of non-exposed transgenic animals)	150
	11.1.1 Sources of spontaneous mutations	150
	11.1.2 Spontaneous mutation data: sequence data in	
	organs of non-exposed transgenic animals	151
	11.1.3 The frequency and nature of spontaneous	
	mutations versus age in multiple tissues	152
11.2	Examination of fundamental paradigms in genetic	
	toxicology	154
	11.2.1 Dose–response relationships	155
	11.2.2 Correlation of dose with mutation frequency	
	and carcinogenicity	157
	11.2.3 Relationship between DNA adducts, cell	
	proliferation and gene mutations	158
11.3	Studies into the mechanism of action of	
	mutagenicity/carcinogenicity using sequence data	161
	11.3.1 Clonal correction and correction for ex vivo	
	mutations	161
	11.3.2 Premutagenic lesions	162
	11.3.3 Tissue-specific responses	164
	11.3.4 Evaluation of genotoxicants that do not	
	appear to interact with DNA	166
	11.3.5 Active components of mixtures	166
	11.3.6 Active metabolites	166
	11.3.7 Investigations into the mechanisms of	
	deletion mutations in vivo	167

	11.4	Importance of the transgenic mutation assays for studies other than genetic toxicology	169
		EVALUATION, CONCLUSIONS AND	171
12.	MUT	LUATION OF THE TRANSGENIC ANIMAL AGENICITY ASSAYS BASED ON THIS EW OF THE CURRENT LITERATURE	172
	12.1	Features of the assay	172
	12.2		172
		12.2.1 Reliability of a negative result	173
	12.3	Comparison with endogenous genes	174
	12.4	Molecular analysis and mechanistic studies	174
	12.5	Animal welfare and economy	175
13.	CON	CLUSIONS	177
14.	RECO	OMMENDATIONS	178
REI	FEREN	ICES	179
API	PENDI	X 1: MASTER TABLE	215
		X 2: THE CII ASSAY IN TRANSGENIC	
RO.	DENT	STUDIES	279
RES	SUME		287
RES	SUME	N	293



v.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_29831

