

Integrated risk assessment

Report prepared for the WHO/UNEP/ILO International Programme on Chemical Safety

2001

Integrated risk assessment - Report prepared for the WHO/UNEP/ILO International Programme on Chemical Safety

WHO/IPCS/IRA/01/12 © World Health Organization, 2001

All rights reserved

INTEGRATED RISK ASSESSMENT

Preface

- I. Executive summary Approaches to integrated risk assessment
- II. Framework for the integration of health and ecological risk assessment
 Annex A. Additional types of integration in risk assessment
 Annex B. Working glossary of key terms used in this document
 References
- III. Case studies
 - A. Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in human and wildlife
 B. Ultraviolet radiation effects on amphibians, coral, humans, and oceanic primary productivity
 C. Tributyltin and triphenyltin compounds
 D. Organophosphorous pesticides in the environment
- IV. Meeting report of the international workshop on approaches to integrated risk assessment

Preface

This report has been prepared for the WHO/UNEP/ILO International Programme on Chemical Safety by the following experts: Linda Birnbaum, US Environmental Protection Agency, USA; Terri Damstra, International Programme on Chemical Safety, USA; Jim Hart, Danish Veterinary and Food Administration, Denmark; Steve Hedtke, US Environmental Protection Agency, USA; Robert Kröes, The Netherlands; Robert MacPhail, US Environmental Protection Agency, USA; Erminio Marafante; Joint Research Centre; Italy; Wayne Munns, US Environmental Protection Agency, USA; Peter Ross, Institute of Ocean Sciences, Canada; Larry Reiter, US Environmental Protection Agency, USA; Jun Sekizawa, National Institute of Health Sciences, Japan; Glenn Suter, US Environmental Protection Agency, USA; Glen Van Der Kraak, University of Guelph, Canada; Gil Veith, US Environmental Protection Agency, USA; Theo Vermeire, National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, The Netherlands; and Michael Waters, US Environmental Protection Agency, USA.

Historically, human health and environmental risk assessment methodologies have generally developed independently. Regulatory agencies often use a chemicalby-chemical approach, focusing on a single media, a single source, and a single toxic endpoint. Many international and national organizations have expressed a need for an integrated, holistic approach to risk assessment that addresses real life situations of multichemical, multimedia, multiroute, and multispecies exposures. In response to this need, the International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS) convened a group of international scientific experts to develop approaches for integrated risk assessment.

In April 1998, IPCS convened an IPCS/OECD/EPA Scoping Meeting on Integrated Approaches to Human Health and Environmental Risk Assessment, in conjunction with a US EPA national symposium on Extrapolation in Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment. A number of potential activities/issues related to integrated risk assessment were identified at this scoping meeting. In November 1998, a follow-up planning meeting was convened by IPCS to further identify mechanisms and approaches for integrated risk assessment. That planning meeting agreed on a working definition of integrated risk assessment, developed a preliminary generic framework for integrated risk assessment, and proposed that a number of case studies be developed to evaluate the framework. IPCS convened a Framework Sub-Group meeting in July 1999 to review and revise the draft generic framework, and to develop criteria for identification of case studies and guidance for how the case studies would be developed. A meeting to further evaluate possible case study demonstrations of the generic framework was held in November 1999 and four case studies were chosen and their format/content finalized in July 2000. An international workshop was convened in April 2001 to evaluate the framework and demonstrate the benefits of integration using the four case studies.

The views expressed in this document are solely the responsibility of the authors. This document is not a formal publication of theWorld Health Organization, and all rights are reserved by the Organization.

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - APPROACHES TO INTEGRATED RISK ASSESSMENT

The goals of chemical safety programs encompass the prevention, assessment, and management of both short-term and long-term adverse effects to humans and the environment resulting from the production, use, transport and disposal of chemicals. The tools used internationally to assess and manage the risks of chemicals on human health have generally developed independently from the tools used to assess risks to the environment for practical and historical reasons. However, with increased recognition of the need to protect both humans and the environment more effectively, an integrated approach to risk assessment that addresses situations of multichemical, multimedia, multiroute, and multispecies exposures holistically is needed.

The UNEP/ILO/WHO International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS), in collaboration with the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), the European Commission (EC), the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development Cooperation, and other international and national organizations developed a working partnership to foster the integration of assessment approaches to evaluate human health and ecological risks. The overall goal of this project was to promote international understanding and acceptance of the integrated risk assessment process. Three specific objectives were identified to meet this goal: 1) enhance understanding of the benefits of integration, 2) identify and understand obstacles to integration, and 3) engage key scientific organizations to promote discussion of an integrated approach to risk assessment.

The term "integration" can have many meanings, and several opportunities exist within risk assessment generically for integration. For this effort, integrated risk assessment was taken to be "a science-based approach that combines the processes of risk estimation for humans, biota, and natural resources in one assessment." Although risk from chemical exposures was a primary concern, this definition does not limit the integrated risk assessment process to evaluation of chemical risks. It did, however, focus the efforts of the project on the scientific issues and needs of integrated risk assessment, and away from the varied regulatory and jurisdictional mandates and processes of individual governmental agencies.

Two fundamental reasons for integrated risk assessment are: 1) to improve the quality and efficiency of assessments through the exchange of information between human health and environmental risk assessors; and 2) to provide more coherent inputs to the decision-making process. With respect to the latter, human health and ecological risk assessors often provide decision makers with inconsistent input that results in contradictory impressions of the nature of risks. This results from differences in approach that should be eliminated in an integrated approach. A generic framework and associated documentation were developed to communicate how an integrated risk assessment could be conducted. Recognizing the similarities in risk assessment frameworks currently in use internationally, the integrated risk assessment framework is based on US EPA=s framework for ecological risk assessment and its associated terminology (US EPA 1998). Ecological risk assessment frameworks have greater general applicability than do human health frameworks (or those environmental frameworks derived directly from human health frameworks) in that they 1) were developed to deal with a range of environmental stressors beyond toxic chemicals, 2) must describe the nature and role of the environment in the risk assessment process, and 3) must explicitly identify the endpoint to be assessed. Further, a well developed body of concepts and terminology exist in the literature treating ecological risk assessment that support integration.

The integrated framework consists of three primary assessment phases. During the first of these, Problem Formulation, the overall goals, objectives, scope, and activities of the assessment are delineated. The Analysis step consists of data collection and modeling exercises to characterize exposure in time and space, and to define the effects on humans and ecological systems resulting from exposure. The methods appropriate for the Analysis step may be stressor-specific, but also depend upon the nature of the systems identified to be at risk. Exposure and effect information are synthesized as estimates of risk in the Risk Characterization step. Ideally, these estimates are quantitative with respect to the level of risk expected under different exposure scenarios, although only qualitative estimates of risk may be possible in some circumstances. The integrated risk assessment framework treats the relationships among risk assessment, risk management, stakeholder input, and data collection activities in a general, parallel and concurrent manner. These activities may interact in various ways depending on the regulatory context and the nature of the assessment problem. Documentation developed to describe the framework details the purpose and activities of each assessment phase, identifies points of integration, describes perceived advantages of integration, offers examples clarifying key concepts, and defines relevant terminology. This integrated risk assessment framework received international peer review by diverse organizations and scientific experts prior to finalization.

Case studies were developed to help communicate the integrated risk assessment approach, to illustrate how assessments might be conducted, and to highlight the benefits of integration. Four assessment problems were selected for initial case study development based on 1) known linkages between human health and ecological effects and exposure to stressors, 2) the sufficiency of available knowledge of human and ecological effects, 3) the adequacy of information describing the stressor(s) and exposure to humans and ecological receptors, and 4) the degree of commonality in conceptual models relating stressors to ecological and human receptors with respect to time and spatial scale. Using these criteria, the following case studies were developed by panels of scientific experts: 1) the risks of persistent organic pollutants (POPS) to humans and wildlife; 2) ultraviolet radiation effects on amphibians, coral, humans, and oceanic primary productivity; 3) risks of tributyltin and triphenyltin compounds; and 4) organophosphorous pesticides in the environment. Each case study describes integrated assessment activities relevant to all parts of the framework, identifying key points of integration, critical information needs, and the benefits of integration. Although not actual risk assessments from the standpoint of completeness and rigor of analysis, the intent behind their development was to demonstrate that integrated risk assessment leads to enhanced scientific understanding that facilitates high quality regulatory decisions, assists in the identification of emerging issues and therefore may be predictive, provides a resource-effective alternative to independent assessments, and improves the response time of regulatory decisions.

The concepts, approaches, and framework for integrated risk assessment were evaluated at an international workshop held in Ispra, Italy in April 2001. Sponsored by the IPCS and the EC, the overall objectives of the workshop were to promote international understanding and acceptance of integrated risk assessment as a decision support tool for environmental policy and regulation, and to identify the science needed to conduct integrated risk assessments. This workshop was attended by over 40 invited participants, representing diverse international and national organizations and expertise. Using the framework and four case studies to focus deliberations, workshop participants were asked to identify: 1) the benefits of and obstacles to integrated risk assessment, 2) the research needed to facilitate implementation of integrated risk assessment and how an integrated approach informs the international research agenda, and 3) mechanisms and actions that can be taken to facilitate practical application of integrated risk assessment by regulatory bodies.

A principle benefit of integrated risk assessment identified by workshop participants was the improved effectiveness of the assessment process through exploitation of shared data and models, and the transferability of knowledge of mechanisms and modes of actions across risk endpoints and stressors. Participants also concluded that there would be general reductions in assessment uncertainties, an increased likelihood of identifying unexpected and emerging risks, and reductions in overall assessment costs relative to independent ecological and health assessments. However, several obstacles hindering acceptance and implementation of integrated risk assessment were identified, including the traditional disciplinary barriers that exist between ecological and human health research and assessment

预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_30429

