WHO/EDM/TRM/2000.1 Distr.: General Original: English

General Guidelines for Methodologies on Research and Evaluation of Traditional Medicine



World Health Organization Geneva

Acknowledgements

WHO acknowledges its indebtedness to the more than 100 experts, and national health authorities, who provided comments and advice during the preparation of these guidelines. Thanks are also due to those who participated in a WHO informal discussion on research methodology for evaluation of traditional medicine, which took place in Rockville, MD, USA in 1997, where the first draft of the guidelines was prepared.

In particular, we thank those who participated in the WHO Consultation held in Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China (Hong Kong SAR) in 2000 (see Annex X), to review and finalize the draft guidelines. We also thank Professor Johan Karlberg, Director of the Clinical Trial Centre of the University of Hong Kong, who revised Part 3; and Dr Williamina Wilson, who edited the final draft.

Appreciation is also extended to the National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine – a WHO Collaborating Centre for Traditional Medicine – at the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA, for their support to initiate this endeavour in 1997; and to the Department of Health of the Government of Hong Kong SAR, the Federation of Hong Kong Industries, and the Innovation and Technology Fund of Hong Kong SAR, for their generous support in hosting the WHO Consultation and for their financial contribution to publication of the guidelines.

© World Health Organization 2000

This document is not a formal publication of the World Health Organization (WHO), and all rights are reserved by the Organization. The document may, however, be freely reviewed, abstracted, reproduced and translated, in part or in whole, but not for sale nor for use in conjunction with commercial purposes.

Contents

Acknowledgements	
Foreword	V
Introduction	1
Definitions	1 2
Methodologies for Research and Evaluation of Herbal Medicines	3
1.1 Definitions	4
Methodologies for Research and Evaluation of Traditional Procedure-Base Therapies	
Types of Traditional Procedure-Based Therapies Evaluation of Safety and Efficacy	
3. Clinical Research	11
3.1 General Considerations 3.2 Literature Review 3.3 Selection of Study Design	11
4. Other Issues and Considerations	17
4.1 Pragmatic Research Issues4.2 Ethics4.3 Education and Training4.4 Surveillance Systems	17 18
References	19
Annexes	20
Note for Annexes	20
Annex I. Guidelines for the Assessment of Herbal Medicines	21
Introduction Assessment of Quality Assessment of Safety Assessment of Efficacy Intended Use Utilization of these Guidelines	23 24 24 25
Annex II. Research Guidelines for Evaluating the Safety and Efficacy of Herba Medicines	
Definition of Terms	27

Guidelines for Toxicity Investigation of Herbal Medicines	.28
Annex III. Report of a WHO Consultation on Traditional Medicine and AIDS: Clinical Evaluation of Traditional Medicines and Natural Products	.33
Preclinical Considerations Clinical Considerations Recommendations	.35
Annex IV. Definition of Levels of Evidence and Grading of Recommendations	.39
Levels of EvidenceGrading of Recommendations	
Annex V. Guidelines for Levels and Kinds of Evidence to Support Claims for Therapeutic Goods	.41
Claims Based on Evidence of Traditional Use	.42 .44
Annex VI. Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice (GCP) for Trials on Pharmaceutical Products	.55
Ethical Principles	.55
Annex VII. Guidance for Industry: Significant Scientific Agreement in the Review of Health Claims for Conventional Foods and Dietary Supplements	
Identifying Data for Review	.53
Annex VIII. Guideline for Good Clinical Practice	.57
Clinical Trial Protocol and Protocol Amendment(s)	.57
ANNEX IX. WHO QOL (Quality of Life) User Manual: Facet Definitions and Response Scales	.61
Introduction	.61 .61
Domain I - Physical Domain Domain II - Psychological	
Domain III - Level of Independence	.64
Domain IV - Social Relationships	
Domain V - EnvironmentDomain VI - Spirituality/Religion/Personal Beliefs	
Response Scales	
Annex X. Participants in the WHO Consultation on Methodologies for Research	
and Evaluation of Traditional Medicine	1 71

Foreword

During the last decade, use of traditional medicine has expanded globally and has gained popularity. It has not only continued to be used for primary health care of the poor in developing countries, but has also been used in countries where conventional medicine is predominant in the national health care system.

With the tremendous expansion in the use of traditional medicine worldwide, safety and efficacy as well as quality control of herbal medicines and traditional procedure-based therapies have become important concerns for both health authorities and the public.

Various practices of traditional medicine have been developed in different cultures in different regions without a parallel development of international standards and appropriate methods for evaluating traditional medicine.

The challenge now is to ensure that traditional medicine is used properly and to determine how research and evaluation of traditional medicine should be carried out. Governments and researchers, among others, are increasingly requesting WHO to provide standards, technical guidance and information on these issues.

Since 1991, WHO has developed and issued a series of technical guidelines such as *Guidelines for the assessment of herbal medicines*; *Research guidelines for evaluating the safety and efficacy of herbal medicines*; and *Guidelines for clinical research on acupuncture*. However, these guidelines are still not sufficient to cover the many challenging issues in the research and evaluation of traditional medicine.

In 1997, with the support of the National Center of Complementary and Alternative Medicine, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA, a WHO informal discussion developed draft guidelines for methodology on research and evaluation of traditional medicine. Since then, the draft has been revised four times. The guidelines were finalized at a WHO consultation in April 2000, in Hong Kong, China, with the support of the Government of Hong Kong SAR.

The guidelines focus on the current major debates on safety and efficacy of traditional medicine, and are intended to raise and answer some challenging questions concerning the evidence base. They also clarify certain commonly used but unclear definitions. The guidelines present some national regulations for the evaluation of herbal medicine, and also recommend new approaches for carrying out clinical research, for example, using the WHO *QOL user manual*. The quality of life (QOL) manual was developed by the WHO Programme on Mental Health, and may also be used to evaluate the results of clinical research in traditional medicine.

Although the guidelines have been carefully developed and modified, based on comments received from various experts and national health authorities across the world, there still may be other issues and views that, unintentionally, have not been included. Unfortunately, it is possible that some experts in the field may not have been consulted, due to WHO's limited budget and time for preparation.

There can be no doubt that the guidelines will achieve their purpose of improving the quality and value of research in traditional medicine. It is anticipated that the guidelines will be revised again in the near future, in response to developments in research in traditional medicine. We therefore welcome all comments and views at any time.

Dr Xiaorui Zhang
Acting Coordinator
Traditional Medicine (TRM)
Department of Essential Drugs and
Medicines Policy (EDM)
World Health Organization

Introduction

Definitions

Traditional medicine

Traditional medicine has a long history. It is the sum total of the knowledge, skills and practices based on the theories, beliefs and experiences indigenous to different cultures, whether explicable or not, used in the maintenance of health, as well as in the prevention, diagnosis, improvement or treatment of physical and mental illnesses. The terms complementary/alternative/non-conventional medicine are used interchangeably with traditional medicine in some countries^a.

General considerations

Practices of traditional medicine vary greatly from country to country, and from region to region, as they are influenced by factors such as culture, history, personal attitudes and philosophy. In many cases, their theory and application are quite different from those of conventional medicine. Long historical use of many practices of traditional medicine, including experience passed on from generation to generation, has demonstrated the safety and efficacy of traditional medicine. However, scientific research is needed to provide additional evidence of its safety and efficacy. In conducting research and evaluating traditional medicine, knowledge and experience obtained through the long history of established practices should be respected.

Despite its existence and continued use over many centuries, and its popularity and extensive use during the last decade, traditional medicine has not been officially recognized in most countries. Consequently, education, training and research in this area have not been accorded due attention and support. The quantity and quality of the safety and efficacy data on traditional medicine are far from sufficient to meet the criteria needed to support its use worldwide. The reasons for the lack of research data are due not only to health care policies, but also to a lack of adequate or accepted research methodology for evaluating traditional medicine. It should also be noted that there are published and unpublished data on research in traditional medicine in various countries, but further research in safety and efficacy should be promoted, and the quality of the research should be improved.

^a The term complementary and alternative medicine is used in some countries to refer to a broad set of health care practices that are not part of the country's own tradition and are not integrated into the dominant health care system.

The methodologies for research and evaluation of traditional medicine should be based on the following basic principles. On the one hand, the methodologies should guarantee the safety and efficacy of herbal medicines and traditional procedure-based therapies. On the other hand, however, they should not become obstacles to the application and development of traditional medicine. This complex issue has been a concern for national health authorities and scientists in recent years.

The discussion of methodologies for research and evaluation of traditional medicine is divided into two parts: herbal medicines and traditional procedure-based therapies. However, successful treatment is often the consequence of both types of treatment acting synergistically. Thus, the efficacy of traditional medicine has to be evaluated in an integrated manner, taking into account both treatment types. Consequently, efficacy assessment of traditional medicine may be quite different to that of conventional medicine. As traditional medicine relies on a holistic approach, conventional efficacy assessment measures may not be adequate.

Purpose of the guidelines

These guidelines have been developed to improve the situation described above, and to promote the proper use and development of traditional medicine. The specific objectives of the guidelines are to:

- harmonize the use of certain accepted and important terms in traditional medicine:
- summarize key issues for developing methodologies for research and evaluation of traditional medicine;
- improve the quality and value of research in traditional medicine; and
- provide appropriate evaluation methods to facilitate the development of regulation and registration in traditional medicine.

Use of the guidelines

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 30454

